TACHYON CONSTANT-ROLL INFLATION IN RSII COSMOLOGY

M. Stojanović University of Niš, Serbia

In collaboration with: N. Bilić, G. S. Djordjević, D. D. Dimitrijević and M. Milošević



BWXX SEENET-MTP MEETING

AUGUST 29 – 31, 2023 VRNJAČKA BANJA, SERBIA



Outline

- Inflation
- Slow-roll parameters
- The constant-roll inflation
- Braneworld cosmology (Randall-Sundrum II model)
- The constant-roll inflation with a tachyon field
- The attractor behavior
- Constant-roll inflation in RSII holographic model
- Conclusions

Inflation

- The *inflation theory* proposes a period of extremely rapid (exponential) expansion of the universe during the an early stage of evolution of the universe.
- The inflation theory predicts that during inflation (it takes about 10^{-34} s) radius of the universe increased, at least $e^{60} \approx 10^{26}$ times.



- Although inflationary cosmology has successfully complemented the Standard Model, the process
 of inflation, in particular its origin, is still largely unknown.
- Recent years brought us a lot of evidence from WMAP and Planck observations of the CMB
- The most important way to test inflationary cosmological models is to compare the computed and measured values of the observational parameters.

Standard single field inflation

- The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric
 - $ds^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} a^{2}(t)\left(\frac{dr^{2}}{1 kr^{2}} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right)$
- The Friedmann equations

$$H^{2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{kc^{2}}{a^{2}}$$
$$\dot{H} + H^{2} = \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^{2}}\right)$$

- The simplest model of inflation standard single scalar field inflation ϕ inflaton
 - $S = -\frac{1}{16\pi C} \int \sqrt{-g} R d^4 x + \int \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L} d^4 x$
- Energy density and pressure

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi} + V(\phi) \quad p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi} - V(\phi) \qquad \rho = -3H(\rho + p)$$

A condition for inflation (from the Friedmann equations) ٠

$$\frac{d}{dt}(aH)^{-1} < 0 \iff \ddot{a} = \frac{d^2a}{dt^2} > 0 \iff \rho + p < 0$$

a(t) - scale factor $H(t) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}$ - the Hubble expansion r

- expansion rate
- k the spatial curvature parameter

Slow-roll parameters

• The slow-roll parameters

$$= -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \qquad \eta = -\frac{\ddot{H}}{2H\dot{H}} \qquad \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = H^2(1-\epsilon), \quad \epsilon < 1 \Longrightarrow \ddot{a} > 0$$

• The horizon-flow parameters

$$\varepsilon_0 \equiv H_* / H, \qquad \varepsilon_{i+1} \equiv \frac{d \ln |\varepsilon_i|}{dN}, \quad i \ge 0 \qquad \dot{\varepsilon}_i = H \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_{i+1} \qquad N = \int H dv$$

• Example: canonical scalar field

 $\epsilon \equiv \mathcal{E}_1$

 $\eta = \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2$

 $\eta = -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}}$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V' = 0, \qquad V' = \frac{dV}{d\phi}$$

0

SLOW-ROLL INFLATION



CONSTANT-ROLL INFLATION

 $V' \approx 0 \quad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} \simeq 0 \qquad \eta = \text{const}$

 $V'=0 \implies \eta=3$ ULTRA-SLOW ROLL

The constant-roll inflation

• Trivial solution

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{\eta t + c}$$
 $\varepsilon_1 = \text{const}$ $\varepsilon_2 = 0$

• Nontrivial solutions

 $H_{1}(t) = -\frac{\beta}{\eta} \tan(\beta t + \gamma) \qquad H_{2}(t) = \frac{\beta}{\eta} \cot(\beta t + \gamma) \qquad H_{3}(t) = \frac{\beta}{\eta} \tanh(\beta t + \gamma)$ $\varepsilon_{1}(t) = \frac{\eta}{\sin^{2}(\beta t + \gamma)} \qquad \varepsilon_{1}(t) = \frac{\eta}{\cos^{2}(\beta t + \gamma)} \qquad \varepsilon_{1}(t) = -\frac{\eta}{\sinh^{2}(\beta t + \gamma)}$ $\varepsilon_{2}(t) = 2\eta \cot^{2}(\beta t + \gamma) \qquad \varepsilon_{2}(t) = 2\eta \tan^{2}(\beta t + \gamma) \qquad \varepsilon_{2}(t) = -2\eta \coth^{2}(\beta t + \gamma)$ $N(t) = \frac{1}{\eta} \log \cos(\beta t + \gamma) + C \qquad N(t) = \frac{1}{\eta} \log \sin(\beta t + \gamma) + C \qquad N(t) = \frac{1}{\eta} \log \cosh(\beta t + \gamma) + C$ $\eta > 0 \qquad \eta > 0 \qquad \eta < 0$

 $\eta = \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2$ $\ddot{H} + 2\eta H\dot{H} = 0$ $\ddot{H} = \text{const.}$

$$H_4(t) = \frac{\beta}{\eta} \coth(\beta t + \gamma)$$
$$\varepsilon_1(t) = \frac{\eta}{\cosh^2(\beta t + \gamma)}$$
$$\varepsilon_2(t) = -2\eta \tanh^2(\beta t + \gamma)$$

The parameters ε_i cannot be simultaneously positive, the inflation stage never ends!

The solutions which provide a consistent inflationary model.

The constant-roll inflation

• All solutions *H* lead to the same function $\varepsilon_1(N)$ and $\varepsilon_2(N)$.

$$\varepsilon_1(N) = \frac{\eta}{1 - (1 - \eta)e^{2\eta(N - N_f)}} \qquad \varepsilon_2(N) = \frac{2\eta(1 - \eta)e^{2\eta(N - N_f)}}{1 - (1 - \eta)e^{2\eta(N - N_f)}}$$

The observational parameters

$$n_{\rm s} \simeq 1 - 2\varepsilon_{1\rm i} - \varepsilon_{2\rm i}$$
 $\varepsilon_{1\rm i} = \varepsilon_1 (t = t_{\rm i})$ $\varepsilon_{2\rm i} = \varepsilon_1 (t = t_{\rm i})$
 $r \simeq 16\varepsilon_{1\rm i}$

• The observational constraints from Planck 2018

 $n_{\rm s} = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$

r < 0.056

• The better agreement is achieved for negative and small values of the parameter η .



Braneworld cosmology

- Braneworld universe is based on the scenario in which matter is confined on a brane moving in the higher dimensional bulk with only gravity allowed to propagate in the bulk.
- One of the simplest models Randall-Sundrum (RS) model was originally proposed to solve the hierarchy problem (1999).
- Later it was realized that this model, as well as any similar braneworld model, may have interesting cosmological implications.
- **RS model** observer reside on the brane with negative tension at y=l, distance to the 2nd brane corresponds to the Netwonian gravitational constant.



• **RSII model** – observer is placed on the positive tension brane at y = 0, the 2nd brane is pushed to infinity.



Randall-Sundrum II (RSII) model

• The action for the brane world

$$S = \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{M_{5}}{2} + \Lambda_{5} \right) + \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-h} \left(\mathcal{L} + \lambda \right)$$

the bulk the brane

- Assuming the geometry of the universe to be described by a five-dimensional FLRW metric $ds_5^2 = -dt^2 + a^2 \delta_{ii} dx^i dx^j + dy^2$
- The usual Friedmann equations are modified so the model has predictions different from the standard cosmology



$$\lambda = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{M_5^3}{M_4} \right)$$

Tachyon field

- Traditionally, the word tachyon was used to describe a hypothetical particle which propagates faster than light (Sommerfeld 1904).
- In modern physics this meaning has been changed
 - The effective tachyonic field theory was proposed by A. Sen
 - String theory: states of quantum fields with imaginary mass (i.e. negative mass squared)
 - It was believed: such fields permitted propagation faster than light
 - However it was realized that the imaginary mass creates an instability and tachyons spontaneously decay through the process known as tachyon condensation
- No classical interpretation of the "imaginary mass"
- The instability: The potential of the tachyonic field is initially at a local maximum rather than a local minimum (like a ball at the top of a hill)
- A small perturbation forces the field to roll down towards the local minimum.
- Quanta are not tachyon any more, but rather an "ordinary" particle with a positive mass.





Tachyon field

- Tachyon Lagrangian (homogenius and isotropic case) $\mathcal{L}(X,\theta) = -V(\theta)\sqrt{1-X}$
- Tachyon potentia

 $V(0) = \text{const}, \quad V_{,\theta}(\theta > 0) < 0, \quad V(|\theta| \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$

• The tachyon field can be treated as a fluid with

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}, \quad u_{\mu} = \frac{\partial_{\mu}\theta}{\sqrt{-\partial_{\alpha}\theta\partial^{\alpha}\theta}}$$

Friedmann equation

$$H^{2} = \frac{1}{3M_{Pl}^{2}} \frac{V}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\theta}^{2}}}$$
$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2M_{Pl}} \frac{V\dot{\theta}^{2}}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\theta}^{2}}}$$

 $p = \mathcal{L} = -V\sqrt{1-\dot{\theta}^2}$ $\rho = \mathcal{H} = \frac{V}{\sqrt{1-\dot{\theta}^2}}$

 $\frac{\ddot{\theta}}{1-\dot{\theta}} + 3H\dot{\theta} + \frac{V_{,\theta}}{\theta} = 0$

Examples:

 $V(\theta) = \lambda \theta^{-n}$

 $V(\theta) = \lambda e^{-\theta}$

 $V(\theta) = \frac{\lambda}{\cosh(\theta/\theta_0)}$

Canonical field $\mathcal{L}(X,\phi) = BX - V(\phi)$ Non-canonical models $\mathcal{L}(X,\phi) = BX^n - V(\phi)$ Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) $\mathcal{L}(X,\phi) = -\frac{1}{f(\phi)}\sqrt{1-f(\phi)}$ Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(X,\phi) = -\frac{1}{f(\phi)}\sqrt{1-f(\phi)}$

 $\mathcal{L}(X,\phi) = DX \quad \forall (\phi)$ $\mathcal{L}(X,\phi) = -\frac{1}{f(\phi)} \sqrt{1 - 2f(\phi)X} - V(\phi)$ $X = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi \quad \text{- kinetic energy}$ $V(\phi) \quad \text{- potential}$

The constant-roll inflation with a tachyon field

RSII cosmology

 $H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3M_{\perp}^2}\rho \left| 1 + \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \right|$

 $\rho \gg \lambda$

 $\dot{H} = -\frac{4\pi}{M_4^2}(\rho + p) \left[1 + \frac{\rho}{\lambda}\right]$ In the high energy regime energy density is larger than the tension of the brane.

• Hamilton-Jacobi formalism $\dot{H} = H_{,\theta}\dot{\theta}$

 $\dot{\theta} = -\frac{n}{3} \frac{H_{,\theta}}{H^2}$ n=1 RSII cosmology n=2 Standard cosmology

$$\begin{split} \ddot{H} + 2\eta H \dot{H} &= 0 \implies H_{,\theta\theta} H - H_{,\theta}^2 - 3\frac{\eta}{n} H^4 = 0 & H(t) = -\frac{n}{\sqrt{3\eta}} \tan(\sqrt{\eta}/3t + 2C_3), \quad \overline{\eta} > 0 \\ H(\theta) &= \frac{2nC_1 e^{\sqrt{C_1}(\theta + C_2)}}{e^{2\sqrt{C_1}(\theta + C_2)} - 3\overline{\eta}C_1} & C_1 = 1 & a(t) \propto \left[\cos\left(\sqrt{\eta}/3t + 2C_3\right)\right]^{\frac{n}{\overline{\eta}}}, \quad \eta > 0 \\ C_2 &= 0 & H(\theta) = \frac{2ne^{\theta}}{e^{2\theta} - 3\overline{\eta}} & H(t) = -\frac{n}{\sqrt{3|\overline{\eta}|}} \tanh(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3), \quad \overline{\eta} < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \propto \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \ll \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \ll \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \ll \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{n}{|\overline{\eta}|}}, \quad \eta < 0 & a(t) \leftrightarrow \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{|\overline{\eta}|/3t} + 2C_3\right)\right]^{-\frac{$$

 $H^{2} \approx \frac{4\pi}{3M_{4}^{2}} \frac{\rho^{2}}{\lambda}$ Hubble parameter behaves as $H \propto \rho$ rather than $H \propto \sqrt{\rho}$, a novel aspect of the CRI scenario in this context!



The observational parameters

• The inflation parameters in the second order in the slow-roll parameters

3i

$$\begin{split} n_{\rm s} &= 1 - 2\varepsilon_{\rm li} - \varepsilon_{2\rm i} - \left(2\varepsilon_{\rm li}^2 + (2C' + 3 - 2\alpha)\varepsilon_{\rm li}\varepsilon_{2\rm i} + C'\varepsilon_{2\rm i}\varepsilon_{\rm li}\varepsilon_{\rm li}\right) \\ r &= 16\varepsilon_{\rm li}\left(1 + C'\varepsilon_{2\rm i} - 2\alpha\varepsilon_{\rm li}\right) \\ \alpha &= 1/6 \quad \text{RSII cosmology} \\ \alpha &= 12/6 \quad \text{Standard cosmology} \\ C' &= -0.72 \\ \varepsilon_3 &= 2\varepsilon_1 \end{split}$$

- A better agreement of analytical and observational results is evident for higher values of *N*.
- The influence of the second order in the slow-roll parameters is insignificant.



The attractor behavior

The attractor behavior of the solution could be investigated:

• analytically: Substituting $H = H_0 + \delta H$

in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, one could obtain an evolution equation for the perturbation. If the perturbation decays the solution is assumed to be stable.

- numerically: the attractor behavior is considered by plotting the phase space diagram.
- The reconstructed potentials

$$\rho = V\sqrt{1 - \dot{\theta}^2} \qquad H^2 \simeq \frac{4\pi}{3M_4^2} \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda} \\ \dot{\theta} = -\frac{n}{3}\frac{H_{,\theta}}{H^2} \qquad H = \frac{2ne^{\theta}}{e^{2\theta} - 3\bar{\eta}} \qquad V = \frac{3M_5^3}{4\pi}H\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{9}\frac{H_{,\theta}^2}{H^4}} = \frac{3M_5^3}{4\pi}\sqrt{\frac{36e^{2\theta} - (e^{2\theta} + 3\bar{\eta})^2}{9(e^{2\theta} - 3\bar{\eta})}}$$

The results displayed in phase space show that there is a curve which attract most trajectories obtained for several initial conditions $-1.3 \le \theta_i \le -1$ $0.2 \le \dot{\theta_i} \le 0.5$ which provide that the inflationary trajectories are attractors.



 $\frac{\ddot{\theta}}{1-\dot{\theta}} + 3H\dot{\theta} + \frac{V_{,\theta}}{\theta} = 0$



Constant-roll inflation in RSII holographic model

- The scenario in which the brane (with an effective tachyon field) is located at the boundary of the AdS₅ space is referred as the holographic braneworld.
- The effective four-dimensional Einstein equations on the holographic boundary of AdS5 yields a modified Friedmann equations $h^2 \frac{1}{4}h^4 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3}\ell^4\rho \qquad \qquad h \left(1 \frac{1}{2}h^2\right) = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\ell^3(p+\rho)$

where h is a dimensionless Hubble expansion rate and the fundamental coupling is related to the AdS₅ curvature radius

$$\leq h^2 \leq 2$$
 $\kappa^2 =$

From the general condition for constant-roll inflation using the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism one obtains

$$hh_{,\theta\theta} - 2h_{,\theta}^{2} \left(1 + \frac{h^{2}}{4(1 - \frac{1}{2}h^{2})(1 - \frac{1}{4}h^{2})} \right) + \frac{3}{2\ell^{2}}\eta h^{4} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{4}h^{2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}h^{2}} = 0 \qquad V = \frac{3}{\kappa^{2}}h^{2}(1 - \frac{1}{4}h^{2})\sqrt{1 - \frac{4\ell^{2}}{9}\frac{h_{,\theta}^{2}}{h^{4}}\left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}h^{2}}{1 - \frac{1}{4}h^{2}}\right)^{2}} \qquad \varepsilon_{2} = 2\eta - \frac{h^{2}}{2(1 - \frac{1}{4}h^{2})(1 - \frac{1}{4}h^{2})}e^{-\frac{1}{4}h^{2}} = 0$$

• The expressions obtained in the CRI in holography differ from those in CRI in the standard cosmology!

Constant-roll inflation in RSII holographic model



Conclusions

- We have studied the constant-roll inflation with tachyon field in RSII Cosmology, with constant slow-roll parameter η, and for fixed η.
- Its definition leads to differential equation for the Hubble expansion rate, which have the exact (4+1) solutions.
- We found Hubble slow-roll parameters (ε_1 , ε_2) as a function of parameter η for all (4 nontrival) solutions H(θ).
- It was shown show that three of four solutions $H(\theta)$ provide a consistent inflationary model. Futhermore, and as very important, all solutions lead to the same function $\varepsilon_1(N)$ and $\varepsilon_2(N)$.
- We calculated the values of n_s and r and compared it with the latest Planck results.By comparing those values with constraints from observation data we estimate the parameter η . The better agreement is achieved for negative and small value of the parameter η .
- In addition, for standard and RSII cosmology we have calculated inflation parameters in the second order in the slow-roll parameters. No significant difference was obtained for the parameters in these two cases.
- A correct attractor behaviour was found.
- The model of CRI in holographic cosmology gives a lower value for number of e-fold and closer to typical value N=60 then the tachyon CRI in standard cosmology.

References

- M. Stojanovic, N. Bilic, D. D. Dimitrijevic, G. Djordjevic, M. Milosevic, Tachyon constant-roll inflation in Randall-Sundrum II cosmology, [arXiv:2306.02423v2 [gr-qc]] (accepted for publication in IJMPA).
- 2. D. A. Steer and F. Vernizzi, Phys. Rev. D 70, 043527 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0310139 [hep-th]].
- 3. H. Motohashi, A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, JCAP 09, 018 (2015) [arXiv:1411.5021 [astro-ph.CO]].
- 4. L. Anguelova, P. Suranyi and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, JCAP 02, 004 (2018) [arXiv:1710.06989 [hep-th]].
- 5. Q. Gao, Y. Gong and Q. Fei, JCAP 05, 005 (2018) [arXiv:1801.09208 [gr-qc]].
- 6. N. Bilic, S. Domazet and G. Djordjevic, Class. Quant. Grav. 34 (2017) no.16, 165006 [arXiv:1704.01072 [gr-qc]].
- 7. A. Mohammadi, K. Saaidi and T. Golanbari, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) no.8, 083006 [arXiv:1801.03487 [hep-ph]].
- 8. L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4690-4693 (1999) [arXiv:hepth/9906064 [hep-th]].
- 9. N. Bilic, D. Dimitrijevic, G. Djordjevic and M. Milosevic, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32, no.05, 1750039 (2017) [arXiv:1607.04524 [gr-qc]].
- 10. A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, S. Nasri and K. Saaidi, Phys. Rev. D 101, no.12, 123537(2020) [arXiv:2004.12137 [gr-qc]].
- 11. Y. Akrami et al. [Planck], Astron. Astrophys. 641, A10 (2020) [arXiv:1807.06211 [astro-ph.CO]].
- 12. M. Stojanovic, N. Bilic, D. D. Dimitrijevic, G. Djordjevic, M. Milosevic, Constant-roll inflation with tachyon field in the holographic cosmology (in progress)

THANKYOU FORYOUR ATTENTION!