

Thermodynamic length for three-dimensional holographic models and optimal processes

T. Vetsov

Department of Physics, Sofia University, Bulgaria,

BWXX SEENET-MTP Meeting,

Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia

August 30, 2023

Today

- Thermodynamic Geometry
- Thermodynamic Length
- Optimal processes in $WAdS_3$

Geometrizing thermodynamics

- The first law of thermodynamics (energy representation):

$$dE = TdS + \sum_{r=2}^{n-1} I_r dE^r = \sum_{a=1}^n I_a dE^a = \vec{I} \cdot d\vec{E}. \quad (1)$$

- The first law of thermodynamics (entropy representation):

$$dS = \frac{1}{T}dE - \sum_{r=2}^{n-1} J_r dS^r = \sum_{a=1}^n J_a dS^a = \vec{J} \cdot d\vec{S}. \quad (2)$$

- Fundamental relations and natural variables:

$$E = E(\vec{E}), \quad S = S(\vec{S}). \quad (3)$$

- Equations of state:

$$I_a = \left. \frac{\partial E(\vec{E})}{\partial E^a} \right|_{\dots, \hat{E}^a, \dots}, \quad J_a = \left. \frac{\partial S(\vec{S})}{\partial S^a} \right|_{\dots, \hat{S}^a, \dots} \quad (4)$$

The space of equilibrium states

The relation $E = (\vec{E})$ defines an n -dimensional surface \mathcal{E}^n embedded in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} with coordinates (E^1, \dots, E^n, E) .

\mathcal{E}^n becomes an **equilibrium manifold** if equipped with a proper n -dimensional Riemannian metric.

❶ Hessian thermodynamic metrics

F. (Weinhold 1975, G. Ruppeiner 1979)

❷ Legendre invariant thermodynamic metrics

(H. Quevedo 2016)

❸ Covariant thermodynamic metrics

(L. Velazquez 2012)

Thermodynamic metrics

- G. Ruppeiner 1979 ($\epsilon = \pm 1$):

$$ds^{(R)} = -\epsilon \frac{\partial^2 S(\vec{S})}{\partial S^a \partial S^b} dS^a dS^b. \quad (5)$$

- F. Weinhold 1975:

$$ds^{(W)} = \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 E(\vec{E})}{\partial E^a \partial E^b} dE^a dE^b. \quad (6)$$

- Covariant metric (L. Velazquez 2012):

$$ds^{(V)} = \epsilon (\nabla_a \nabla_b S) dS^a dS^b = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial S^a \partial S^b} - \Gamma_{ab}^c(g) \frac{\partial S}{\partial S^c} \right) dS^a dS^b. \quad (7)$$

- Legendre invariant metrics (H. Quevedo 2016), $L \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$ds^{(Q,III)} = L \sum_a \left(S^a \frac{\partial S}{\partial S^a} \right)^{2k+1} \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial S^a \partial S^b} dS^a dS^b \right). \quad (8)$$

Thermodynamic length and irreversibility

- **Thermodynamic length** quantifies the distance between two equilibrium states. It is the number of fluctuations associated with the change of the state of the system. In entropy natural coordinates:

$$\mathcal{L}[\gamma] = \int_{\gamma} \sqrt{g_{ab}(\vec{S})} dS^a dS^b. \quad (9)$$

In affine parametrization with parameter t :

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} \sqrt{g_{ab}(\vec{S}) \dot{S}^a \dot{S}^b} dt. \quad (10)$$

- **Thermodynamic divergence** of the path (Cauchy-Schwarz),

$$\mathcal{J} = \tau \int_0^{\tau} g_{ab}(\vec{S}) \dot{S}^a \dot{S}^b dt \geq \mathcal{L}^2, \quad (11)$$

measures the efficiency of the quasi-static protocols.

- \mathcal{L} sets lower bounds on dissipation!

Optimal finite-time thermodynamic protocols

Thermodynamic length

\mathcal{L} is a measure of the distance between two macro states on the equilibrium manifold \mathcal{E}^n .

Optimal finite-time processes

\mathcal{L} defines optimal quasistatic protocols on \mathcal{E}^n .

Efficiency of a process

\mathcal{L} is a measure of the energy required to transform the system from one state to another. It characterizes the geometric path that minimizes the dissipation (or maximizes the efficiency) of a thermodynamic process.

Applications to holographic models

Topological Massive Gravity (TMG)

Topological Massive Gravity (TMG):

$$I_{TMG} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{2}{L^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu} I_{CS} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} B. \quad (12)$$

WAdS₃ (Anninos, Li, Padi, Song, Strominger 2009):

$$ds^2 = \ell^2 (dt^2 + 2M(r)dtd\theta + N(r)d\theta^2 + D(r)dr^2), \quad (13)$$

with the following metric functions:

$$N(r) = M^2(r) - \frac{1}{4D(r)}, \quad (14)$$

$$M(r) = \nu r - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{r_+ r_- (\nu^2 + 3)}, \quad (15)$$

$$D(r) = \frac{1}{(\nu^2 + 3)(r - r_+)(r - r_-)}. \quad (16)$$

Energy representation and dual conformal theory

The first law of thermodynamics:

$$dM = TdS + \Omega dJ, \quad (17)$$

$$M(S, J) = \frac{\sqrt{(5\nu^2 + 3)(3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J\ell)}}{2\pi\ell\sqrt{3G\nu}} - \frac{\nu S}{\pi\ell}, \quad (18)$$

$$T = \left. \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} \right|_J = \frac{S}{2\pi\ell} \left(\sqrt{\frac{3G\nu(5\nu^2 + 3)}{3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J\ell}} - \frac{2\nu}{S} \right), \quad (19)$$

$$\Omega = \left. \frac{\partial M}{\partial J} \right|_S = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{5\nu^2 + 3}{3G\nu(3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J\ell)}}. \quad (20)$$

Transfer to the dual conformal theory:

$$G \rightarrow \frac{\ell\sqrt{4c_R - 5c_L}}{\sqrt{3c_L}(c_R - c_L)}, \quad \nu \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{3c_L}{4c_R - 5c_L}}. \quad (21)$$

Hessian thermodynamic metrics

- Weinhold ($\epsilon = \pm 1$: elliptic/hyperbolic geometry):

$$dS_W^2 = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial S^2} dS^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial S \partial J} dS dJ + \frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial J^2} dJ^2 \right). \quad (22)$$

Thermodynamic curvature:

$$R_W = \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi G\nu\ell}{\epsilon\sqrt{G\nu(5\nu^2+3)(3G\nu S^2+2\pi^2 J\ell)}}. \quad (23)$$

- Ruppeiner ($\epsilon = \pm 1$: elliptic/hyperbolic geometry):

$$dS_R^2 = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial M^2} dM^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial M \partial J} dM dJ + \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial J^2} dJ^2 \right). \quad (24)$$

Thermodynamic curvature:

$$R_R = \frac{(\nu^2+3)\sqrt{3G\nu}}{\pi\epsilon\sqrt{2\ell(5\nu^2+3)(6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2+3))}}. \quad (25)$$

Weinhold thermodynamic length

In natural parameters:

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\frac{\pi\epsilon\sqrt{5\nu^2 + 3}}{2\sqrt{3G\nu}} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\sqrt{6G\nu dS(JdS - SdJ) - \pi^2\ell dJ^2}}{(3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J\ell)^{3/4}}. \quad (26)$$

Isentropic processes $S = \text{const}$ (not possible for $\epsilon = 1$):

$$\mathcal{L}_S(J_0, J) = \frac{i\sqrt{2\epsilon}\sqrt[4]{(5\nu^2 + 3)(3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J\ell)}}{\sqrt{\pi\ell}\sqrt[4]{3G\nu}} \Bigg|_{J_0}^J \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (27)$$

Also not possible for $\epsilon = -1$: $\mathcal{L}_S < 0$ for $J > J_0$.

OK for $J < J_0$ and $\epsilon = -1$ (hyperbolic information sector).

Processes with $\epsilon > 0$ and constant angular momentum $J = \text{const}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_J(S_0, S) = -\frac{S\sqrt{\epsilon}\sqrt[4]{3G\nu(5\nu^3 + 3)}}{\pi\sqrt[4]{8J\ell^3}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3GS^2\nu}{2J\pi^2\ell}\right) \Bigg|_{S_0}^S < 0. \quad (28)$$

Not possible for $S > S_0$, maybe allowed for $S < S_0$?

Geodesic paths on \mathcal{E}

Let $x(t) = (S(t), J(t))$:

$$\ddot{x}^\sigma(t) + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma(g)\dot{x}^\mu(t)\dot{x}^\nu(t) = 0. \quad (29)$$

Geodesic paths **do not depend on ϵ** (2^{nd} order nonlinear ODEs):

$$\ddot{S} - \frac{\pi^2 \ell J \dot{S}}{3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 \ell J} - \frac{3G\nu S \dot{S}^2}{3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 \ell J} = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\ddot{J} + \frac{3G\nu J \dot{S}^2}{3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 \ell J} - \frac{6G\nu S J \dot{S}}{3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 \ell J} - \frac{3\pi^2 \ell J^2}{6G\nu S^2 + 4\pi^2 \ell J} = 0. \quad (31)$$

Processes with $S = const$ ($J_0 = J(0)$ and $\dot{J}_0 = \dot{J}(0)$):

$$\ddot{J}(t) - \frac{3\pi^2 \ell J^2(t)}{6G\nu S^2 + 4\pi^2 \ell J(t)} = 0 \Rightarrow J(t) = J_0 + \dot{J}_0 t + J_2 t^2 + J_3 t^3 + J_4 t^4.$$

Processes with $J = const$ are possible only for $J = 0$:

$$\ddot{S}(t) - \frac{\dot{S}(t)^2}{S(t)} = 0 \Rightarrow S(t) = S_0 e^{\frac{\dot{S}_0}{S_0} t}, \quad S_0 = S(0), \quad \dot{S}_0 = \dot{S}(0). \quad (32)$$

Optimal protocols with $S = \text{const}$ and $J > J_0$

TD length in time parametrization:

$$\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \sqrt{g_{ab}(x)\dot{x}^a\dot{x}^b} dt. \quad (33)$$

Let $J(0) = J_0$ and $\dot{J}(0) = \dot{J}_0$, $S = \text{const}$ is **not allowed** for $\epsilon = 1$:

$$\mathcal{L}_S(\tau) = \frac{i\pi^{3/2}\dot{J}_0\sqrt{\ell}\epsilon\sqrt[4]{5\nu^2+3}}{\sqrt[4]{3G\nu}\sqrt{2(3G\nu S^2+2\pi^2J_0\ell)}^{3/2}}\tau \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (34)$$

$S = \text{const}$ is **not allowed** for $\epsilon = -1$ due to negative TD length:

$$\mathcal{L}_S(\tau) = -\frac{\pi^{3/2}\dot{J}_0\sqrt{\ell}\sqrt[4]{5\nu^2+3}}{\sqrt[4]{3G\nu}\sqrt{2(3G\nu S^2+2\pi^2J_0\ell)}^{3/2}}\tau < 0, \quad (35)$$

since the initial rate of change of the angular momentum $\dot{J}_0 > 0$ for $J > J_0$ (**J is increasing with time!**)

Optimal protocols with $S = \text{const}$ and $J < J_0$

For $\epsilon = -1$ and decreasing momentum $J < J_0$ (**Penrose process**):

$$\mathcal{L}_S(J_0, J) = - \frac{\sqrt{2} \sqrt[4]{(5\nu^2 + 3)} (3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J\ell)}{\sqrt{\pi\ell} \sqrt[4]{3G\nu}} \Big|_{J_0}^J > 0. \quad (36)$$

In time parametrization with $\dot{J}_0 = -|\dot{J}_0| < 0$:

$$\mathcal{L}_S(\tau) = \frac{\pi^{3/2} |\dot{J}_0| \sqrt{\ell} \sqrt[4]{5\nu^2 + 3}}{\sqrt[4]{3G\nu} \sqrt{2(3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J_0\ell)^{3/2}}} \tau = v\tau > 0. \quad (37)$$

Calculate the deceleration time τ from J_0 to J :

$$\tau = \frac{2X_0^{3/4} \left(\sqrt[4]{X_0} - \sqrt[4]{X} \right)}{\pi^2 \ell |\dot{J}_0|}, \quad X|_{X_0} = 3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 \ell J|_{J_0}. \quad (38)$$

The thermodynamic speed v of the process:

$$v = \dot{\mathcal{L}}_S(\tau) = \frac{\pi^{3/2} |\dot{J}_0| \sqrt{\ell} \sqrt[4]{5\nu^2 + 3}}{\sqrt[4]{3G\nu} \sqrt{2(3G\nu S^2 + 2\pi^2 J_0\ell)^{3/2}}}. \quad (39)$$

Optimal protocols with $J = \text{const}$

Let $S(0) = S_0$ and $\dot{S}(0) = \dot{S}_0$. A process with $J = \text{const}$ is possible only for $J = 0$. Phase transition to the static case:

$$\mathcal{L}_J(\tau) = 0. \quad (40)$$

This is confirmed also by (28) at $J \rightarrow 0$.

Summary

No optimal finite-time quasi-static processes with fixed J on the classically unstable manifold \mathcal{E} with respect to Weinhold's metric. Intrinsically non-equilibrium and non-reversible thermodynamics.

Entropy representation

Entropy in (M, J) space:

$$S = \frac{\pi \left(\sqrt{6\ell(5\nu^2 + 3)} (6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2 + 3)) + 12\sqrt{G\nu}^{3/2} M\ell \right)}{3(\nu^2 + 3)\sqrt{G\nu}}. \quad (41)$$

Ruppeiner metric:

$$\hat{g} = \epsilon \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{2\sqrt{6}\pi J\sqrt{G\nu(5\nu^2+3)}\ell^3}{(6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2+3))^{3/2}} & \frac{\sqrt{6}\pi M\sqrt{G\nu(5\nu^2+3)}\ell^3}{(6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2+3))^{3/2}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{6}\pi M\sqrt{G\nu(5\nu^2+3)}\ell^3}{(6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2+3))^{3/2}} & -\frac{\pi(\nu^2+3)\sqrt{5\nu^2\ell+3\ell}}{2\sqrt{6G\nu}(6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2+3))^{3/2}} \end{array} \right). \quad (42)$$

Thermodynamic curvature:

$$R_R = \frac{(\nu^2 + 3)\sqrt{3G\nu}}{\pi\epsilon\sqrt{2\ell(5\nu^2 + 3)}(6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2 + 3))}. \quad (43)$$

Extremality:

$$\frac{J}{M^2} = \frac{6G\ell\nu}{\nu^2 + 3}. \quad (44)$$

Optimal processes with $M = \text{const}$

No optimal processes with $J = \text{const}$!

Optimal processes with $M = \text{const}$ ($\epsilon = -1, J < J_0$):

$$\mathcal{L}_M(J_0, J) = - \frac{2i\sqrt{\pi\epsilon} \sqrt[4]{2\ell(5\nu^2 + 3)} \sqrt[4]{6G\nu M^2\ell - J(\nu^2 + 3)}}{\sqrt[4]{3G\nu} \sqrt{\nu^2 + 3}} \Big|_{J_0}^J. \quad (45)$$

Geodesics at $M = \text{const}$ and $Y_0 = 6G\nu M^2\ell - J_0(\nu^2 + 3)$:

$$\ddot{J}(t) + \frac{3(\nu^2 + 3) \dot{J}(t)^2}{24G\nu M^2\ell - 4(\nu^2 + 3)J(t)} = 0, \quad J(0) = J_0, \quad \dot{J}(0) = \dot{J}_0, \quad (46)$$

$$J(t) = \dot{J}_0 t - \frac{Y_0 - 6G\nu M^2\ell}{\nu^2 + 3} - \frac{3\dot{J}_0^2 (\nu^2 + 3) t^2}{8Y_0} + \frac{\dot{J}_0^3 (\nu^2 + 3)^2 t^3}{16Y_0^2} - \frac{\dot{J}_0^4 (\nu^2 + 3)^3 t^4}{256Y_0^3},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_M(\tau) = - \frac{i|\dot{J}_0| \sqrt[4]{\ell(5\nu^2 + 3)} \sqrt{\pi\epsilon(\nu^2 + 3)}}{\sqrt[4]{24G\nu Y_0^3}} \tau = v\tau, \quad \epsilon = -1. \quad (47)$$

$$\tau = \frac{4Y_0^{3/4} \left(\sqrt[4]{Y} - \sqrt[4]{Y_0} \right)}{(\nu^2 + 3) |\dot{J}_0|}, \quad Y|_{Y_0} = 6G\nu M^2\ell - (\nu^2 + 3) J|_{J_0}. \quad (48)$$

Credits

Thank You!

- Partially supported by
 - SUMMIT № BG-RRP-2.004-0008-C01
 - The Sofia University Grant 80-10-150